



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,607	12/17/2001	Akio Kobayashi	111473	5916
25944 759	90 03/24/2004		EXAM	INER
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			FOX, DAVID T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALLAMIOMA	, VI 22320		1638	
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	٠
1	•
્ર	
\sim	•
	١
- ()

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/015,607	KOBAYASHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
David T. Fox	1638		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.

- If NO - Failur Any re	period for reply is specified above, the maximum s	statutory period will apply and w	will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication blication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Symmunication, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	led on				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is r	on-final.			
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition	n for allowance except	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the pract	tice under <i>Ex parte Qi</i>	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the	application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) 🗌	c) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restrict	tion and/or election re	quirement.			
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	ng the correction is requi	red if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a clain	n for foreign priority ur	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority	y documents have bee	en received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority	y documents have bee	en received in Application No			
	•		ents have been received in this National Stage			
	application from the Internati					
* 9	See the attached detailed Office acti	on for a list of the cert	ified copies not received.			
Attachmen						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ((PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date			
	mation Disclosure Statement/s) (PTO-1449 c		5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

6) Other: _

Art Unit: 1638

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-15, drawn to a method of transforming a cell, classified in class
 435, subclass 470, for example.

- II. Claims 16-17, drawn to a method for making a plant protoplast, classified in class 435, subclass 421, for example.
- III. Claim 18, drawn to a transformed organism, classified in class 800, subclass 298, for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by a materially different process such as particle bombardment without laser pretreatment, Agrobacterium-mediated transformation, electroporation, microinjection without laser pretreatment, etc.

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation and different functions. The invention of Group I requires transformation methods and foreign genetic material, each not required by Group II. The invention of Group II requires

it: 1638

Art Unit: 1638

methods of stripping plant cell walls, not required by Group I which encompasses wall-less animal cells. Furthermore, the protoplasts of Group II could be used in a method other than the transformation method of Group I, such as reagents for cell fusions or as seed cultures for suspension cultures, for plant cloning.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, classification, and fields of search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

If either Group I or Group III above is elected, the following election of species requirement is applied.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

A) Methods of making transformed plants, claims 1-15 (Group I); and the resultant transformed plants, claim 18 (Group III).

Art Unit: 1638

B) Methods of making transformed animals, claims 1 and 4-15 (Group I); and the resultant transformed animals, claim 18 (Group III).

Transformed plants and animals are physiologically, biochemically and genetically divergent organisms, each requiring divergent methods of transformation and culture, not required by the other.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 4-15, and claim 18, are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Art Unit: 1638

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David T. Fox whose telephone number is (571) 272-0795. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 10:30AM to 7:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amy Nelson, can be reached on (571) 272-0804. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

March 22, 2004

DAVID T. FOX PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 480 /